arXiv:1602.00318v1 [math.DS] 31 Jan 2016

EFFECTIVE EQUIDISTRIBUTION OF CIRCLES IN THE LIMIT SETS OF KLEINIAN GROUPS

WENYU PAN

Abstract. Consider a general circle packing $\mathcal{P}$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ invariant under a Kleinian group Γ. When Γ is convex-cocompact or its critical exponent is greater than 1, we obtain an effective equidistribution for small circles in $\mathcal{P}$ intersecting any bounded connected regular set in $\mathbb{C}$; this provides an effective version of an earlier work of Oh-Shah [12]. In view of the recent result of McMullen-Mohammadi-Oh [6], our effective circle counting theorem applies to the circles contained in the limit set of a convex-cocompact but non-cocompact Kleinian group whose limit set contains at least one circle. Moreover consider the circle packing $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$ of the ideal triangle attained by filling in largest inner circles. We give an effective estimate to the number of disks whose hyperbolic areas are greater than t, as $t \to 0$, effectivising the work of Oh [10].

1. Introduction

A circle packing $\mathcal{P}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is simply a countable union of circles (here a line is regarded as a circle of infinite radius). Suppose $\mathcal{P}$ is locally finite, i.e., for any $T > 1$, there are only finitely many circles in $\mathcal{P}$ of Euclidean curvature (which equals the reciprocal of the radius) at most T intersecting any fixed bounded subset in $\mathbb{C}$ (see Figure 1 for examples). We also assume that $\mathcal{P}$ is invariant under a torsion-free non-elementary geometrically finite Kleinian group $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ with finitely many Γ-orbits.

We show in this paper:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume Γ is either convex-cocompact or its critical exponent δ is strictly greater than 1. Then for any bounded connected set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the regularity condition of Definition 3.14, there exists $\eta > 0$, such that as $T \to \infty$,*

$$\#\{C \in \mathcal{P} : C \cap E \neq \emptyset, \mathrm{Curv}(C) < T\} = c \cdot \omega_\Gamma(E) \cdot T^\delta + O(T^{\delta-\eta}),$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant depending only on Γ and $\mathcal{P}$, and ω_Γ is a locally finite Borel measure on $\mathbb{C}$ determined by Γ (Definition 3.11).

When the critical exponent of Γ is strictly greater than 1 and Γ has no rank 2 cusps, we show in Section 3.3 that any bounded connected set E with rectifiable boundary is regular. Hence the above theorem applies to such E.

Denote by $\Lambda(\Gamma) \subset \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ the limit set of Γ which is the set of accumulation points of an orbit of Γ in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ under the linear fractional transformation action. When Γ is convex-cocompact or it has no rank 2 cusps with critical exponent δ greater than 1, for any bounded connected Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, the measure $\omega_\Gamma(E)$

Date: November 2015.

given in Theorem 1.1 equals the δ-dimension Hausdorff measure of $E \cap \Lambda(\Gamma)$ (see [17]).

FIGURE 1. Circle packing intersecting bounded region (background pictures are reproduced from Indra's Pearls: The Vision of Felix Klein, by D. Mumford, C. Series and D. Wright, copyright Cambridge University Press 2002)

Circles in the limit set of a Kleinian group. Suppose Γ is convex-cocompact. Consider the set of circles contained in $\Lambda(\Gamma)$:

$$\mathcal{I}(\Gamma) := \{C \subset \mathbb{C} : C \subset \Lambda(\Gamma)\}.$$

McMullen, Mohammadi and Oh showed that if $\Lambda(\Gamma) \neq \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, there are only finitely many Γ-orbits of circles in $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$, and each such circle arises from a compact $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$-orbit (Corollary 11.3 and Theorem B.1 in [6]); this implies that $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ is a locally finite circle packing with finitely many Γ-orbits and hence Theorem 1.1 applies to $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$.

Corollary 1.2. *Let Γ be a convex-cocompact subgroup with critical exponent $\delta < 2$. Assume that $\mathcal{I}(\Gamma)$ is non-empty. Then for any bounded connected set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the regularity condition, there exists $\eta > 0$, such that as $T \to \infty$,*

$$\#\{C \in \mathcal{I}(\Gamma) : \mathrm{Curv}(C) < T, C \cap E \neq \emptyset\} = c \cdot \mathcal{H}^\delta(E \cap \Lambda(\Gamma)) \cdot T^\delta + O(T^{\delta-\eta}),$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant depending only on Γ, and $\mathcal{H}^\delta(E \cap \Lambda(\Gamma))$ is the δ-dimensional Hausdorff measure of $E \cap \Lambda(\Gamma)$.

Circles in ideal triangle of $\mathbb{H}^2$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be an ideal triangle in the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$, i.e., a triangle whose sides are hyperbolic lines connecting vertices on the geometry boundary $\partial\mathbb{H}^2$. Such an ideal triangle exists and is unique up to hyperbolic isometries. Consider the circle packing $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$ in $\mathcal{T}$ attained by filling in the largest inner circles (see Figure 2). We give an effective estimate for the number of disks enclosed by circles in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$ whose hyperbolic areas are greater than t.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$. For $C \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$, denote by $\mathrm{Area}_{\mathrm{hyp}}(C)$ the hyperbolic area of the disk enclosed by C. Let α be the Hausdorff dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})}$. In fact α equals the residual dimension of an Apollonian circle packing, which is approximately 1.30568(8) (McMullen, [5]).

Theorem 1.3. *There exist $c > 0$ and $\eta > 0$, such that as $t \to 0$,*

$$\#\{C \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}) : \mathrm{Area}_{\mathrm{hyp}}(C) > t\} = c\, t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta}).$$



FIGURE 2. Circle packing in ideal hyperbolic triangle

The asymptotic formula for this counting problem without a rate was obtained by Oh in [10].

On the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3. In [12], Oh and Shah proved a non-effective version of Theorem 1.1. We closely follow their approach, while providing an effective statement for each step of their arguments and combining it with the effective equidistribution results in [7] to obtain Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3 does not immediately follow from Theorem 1.1 since the ideal triangle is not bounded in the hyperbolic space. In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we need to obtain an effective estimate for the α-dimension Hausdorff measure in hyperbolic metric of neighborhoods of the vertices of the ideal triangle, as well as to give an effective estimate for the number of circles in such neighborhoods.

See [4] and [19] for effective counting results when $\mathcal{P}$ is an Apollonian circle packing. See also [13] for related counting results.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank my advisor, Hee Oh, for suggesting the problem as a part of my thesis and for continued guidance and support. I would also like to thank Dale Winter for useful discussion, as well as comments on an earlier draft of the paper and Amir Mohammadi for asking about the applicability of our theorem to Corollary 1.2.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notations.** We let

$$G := \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}),\ K := \mathrm{PSU}(2),$$
$$M := \{m_\theta := \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix} : \theta \in \mathbb{R}\},$$
$$H := \mathrm{PSU}(1,1) \cup \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{PSU}(1,1).$$

Let $\mathbb{H}^3$ be the hyperbolic 3-space. We use the following coordinates for the upper half space model of $\mathbb{H}^3$:

$$\mathbb{H}^3 = \{z + jy : z \in \mathbb{C}, y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\},$$

where $j = (0,1)$.

The geometric boundary $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ is the extended complex plane $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. The group of orientation preserving isometries of $\mathbb{H}^3$ is given by G. Noting that G acts on $\mathbb{H}^3$ transitively and $K = \mathrm{Stab}_G(j)$, we identify $\mathbb{H}^3$ with G/K via the map $[g] \mapsto gj$.

Let $T^1(\mathbb{H}^3)$ be the unit tangent bundle of $\mathbb{H}^3$, and $X_0 \in T^1(\mathbb{H}^3)$ the upward unit normal vector based at j. Then $T^1(\mathbb{H}^3)$ can be identified with G/M by the map $gX_0 \mapsto [g]$ as $M = \mathrm{Stab}_G(X_0)$.

Any circle C in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ determines a unique totally geodesic plane in $\mathbb{H}^3$, denoted by $\hat{C}$. Let $C^\dagger$ be the unit normal bundle of $\hat{C}$. Then $\mathrm{Stab}_G(\hat{C})$ acts transitively on both $\hat{C}$ and $C^\dagger$.

In particular, if we denote by C_0 the unit circle centered at the origin, then $H = \mathrm{Stab}_G(\hat{C}_0)$, and $\hat{C}_0$ and $C_0^\dagger$ can be identified with $H/H\cap K$ and H/M respectively.

2.2. **Measures on $\Gamma\backslash G/M$.** Let $\Gamma < G$ be a non-elementary torsion-free geometrically finite Kleinian group. A family of finite measures $\{\mu_x : x \in \mathbb{H}^3\}$ is called a Γ-invariant conformal density of dimension $\delta_\mu > 0$, if each μ_x is a non-zero finite Borel measure on $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ satisfying for any $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^3$, $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{H}^3$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$,

$$\gamma_*\mu_x = \mu_{\gamma x} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\mu_y}{d\mu_x}(\xi) = e^{-\delta_\mu \beta_\xi(y,x)}$$

where $\gamma_*\mu_x(F) := \mu_x(\gamma^{-1}(F))$ for any Borel subset F of $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$. Here $\beta_\xi(y,x)$ is the Busemann function given by $\beta_\xi(y,x) = \lim_{t\to\infty} d(\xi_t, y) - d(\xi_t, x)$, where ξ_t is any geodesic ray tending to ξ.

We denote by $\{\nu_{\Gamma,x} : x \in \mathbb{H}^3\}$ (or simply $\{\nu_x : x \in \mathbb{H}^3\}$) the Patterson-Sullivan density (or PS-density), i.e., a Γ-invariant conformal density of dimension δ_Γ, where δ_Γ is the critical exponent for Γ. We will denote the critical exponent of Γ simply by δ when there is no room for confusion. Denote by $\{m_x : x \in \mathbb{H}^3\}$ the Lebesgue density, i.e., a G-invariant conformal density on the boundary $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ of dimension 2.

Remark 2.1. Both $\{\nu_x\}$ and $\{m_x\}$ are unique up to scalars ([17]). In this paper, we always assume $|\nu_j| = 1$ and $|m_j| = 1$.

Let $\pi : T^1(\mathbb{H}^3) \to \mathbb{H}^3$ be the canonical projection map. For $u \in T^1(\mathbb{H}^3)$, denote by $u^\pm \in \partial\mathbb{H}^3$ the forward and the backward endpoints of the geodesic determined by u. The Hopf parametrization $u \mapsto (u^+, u^-, s := \beta_{u^-}(j, \pi(u)))$ gives a homeomorphism between $T^1(\mathbb{H}^3)$ and $(\partial\mathbb{H}^3 \times \partial\mathbb{H}^3)\backslash\{(\xi,\xi) : \xi \in \partial\mathbb{H}^3\} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Using the identification of G/M with $T^1(\mathbb{H}^3)$, we define the Bowen-Margulis-Sullivan measure $\tilde{m}_\Gamma^{\mathrm{BMS}}$ and Burger-Roblin measure $\tilde{m}_\Gamma^{\mathrm{BR}}$ on G/M as follows:

Definition 2.2. Set

(1) $d\tilde{m}_\Gamma^{\mathrm{BMS}}(g) = e^{\delta\beta_{gX_0^+}(j,gj)} e^{\delta\beta_{gX_0^-}(j,gj)} d\nu_j(gX_0^+) d\nu_j(gX_0^-) ds$;

(2) $d\tilde{m}_\Gamma^{\mathrm{BR}}(g) = e^{2\beta_{gX_0^+}(j,gj)} e^{\delta\beta_{gX_0^-}(j,gj)} dm_j(gX_0^+) d\nu_j(gX_0^-) ds$.

The conformal properties imply that $\tilde{m}_\Gamma^{\mathrm{BMS}}$ and $\tilde{m}_\Gamma^{\mathrm{BR}}$ are left Γ-invariant. They induce locally finite Borel measures on $\Gamma\backslash G/M$, which we will denote by m_Γ^{BMS} and m_Γ^{BR}. When there is no room for confusion, we will write $\tilde{m}^{\mathrm{BMS}}$, $\tilde{m}^{\mathrm{BR}}$, m^{BMS} and m^{BR} for simplicity.

2.3. **Measures on $(\Gamma\cap H)\backslash H/M$ and $\mathrm{sk}_\Gamma(\mathcal{P})$.** Denote $\Gamma \cap H$ by Γ_H for convenience. Letting $\{\nu_x\}$ be the PS-density and $\{m_x\}$ be the Lebesgue density, consider the measures $\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma,H}^{\mathrm{PS}}$ and $\tilde{\mu}_H^{\mathrm{Leb}}$ on $H/M = C_0^\dagger$:

$$d\tilde{\mu}_{\Gamma,H}^{\mathrm{PS}} := e^{\delta\beta_{(hX_0)^+}(j,hj)} d\nu_j(hX_0^+) \text{ and } d\tilde{\mu}_H^{\mathrm{Leb}} := e^{2\beta_{(hX_0)^+}(j,hj)} dm_j(hX_0^+).$$

By the Γ-conformal properties, both of them are left $\Gamma \cap H$-invariant. Hence these measures induce locally finite Borel measures on $(\Gamma \cap H)\backslash H/M = \Gamma_H \backslash C_0^\dagger$. We denote the induced measures by $d\mu^{\mathrm{PS}}_{\Gamma,H}$ and $d\mu^{\mathrm{Leb}}_{\Gamma,H}$. When there is no ambiguity about Γ, we simply write $d\mu^{\mathrm{PS}}_H$ and $d\mu^{\mathrm{Leb}}_H$.

We consider the following measure of a circle packing $\mathcal{P}$:

Definition 2.3 (The Γ-skinning size of $\mathcal{P}$)**.** For a circle packing $\mathcal{P}$ in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of finitely many Γ-orbits, define $0 \le \mathrm{sk}_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) \le \infty$ as follows:

$$\mathrm{sk}_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) := \sum_{i \in I} |\mu^{\mathrm{PS}}_{g_{C_i}^{-1}\Gamma g_{C_i}, H}|,$$

where $\{C_i : i \in I\}$ is a set of representatives of Γ-orbits in $\mathcal{P}$ and $g_{C_i} \in G$ is an element such that $g_{C_i}(C_0) = C_i$.

Remark 2.4. (1) Using the uniqueness of Patterson-Sullivan density , we can prove that Definition 2.3 does not depend on the choice of g_{C_i} (see for example the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [12]).

(2) In [12], the Γ-skinning size of $\mathcal{P}$ is defined on the unit normal bundle of the circles (Definition 1.2 in [12]). It is not hard to verify that this definition agrees with Definition 2.3.

Theorem 2.5 (Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 3.2 in [12])**.** *Assume that Γ is either convex-cocompact or its critical exponent δ is greater than 1. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a locally finite circle packing in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ invariant under Γ with finitely many Γ-orbits. Then $\mathrm{sk}_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}) < \infty$.*

Proposition 2.6. *For any circle C, if $\Gamma(C)$ is a locally finite circle packing consisting of infinitely many circles, then $\mathrm{sk}_\Gamma(\Gamma(C)) > 0$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 in [12] and Proposition 6.7 in [11]. □

3. Effective counting for general circle packings

Throughout this section, we let $\Gamma < \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be a torsion-free non-elementary geometrically finite Kleinian group. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a locally finite circle packing consisting of finitely many Γ-orbits.

Let

$$N = \{n_z := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : z \in \mathbb{C}\} \text{ and } N^- = \{n_w^- := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ w & 1 \end{pmatrix} : w \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

For a subset $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, set

$$N_E := \{n_z : z \in E\}.$$

Let

$$A = \{a_t := \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{t}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \end{pmatrix} : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \text{ and } A^+ = \{a_t : t \ge 0\}.$$

For $s > 0$, set

$$A_s^+ := \{a_t : 0 \le t \le s\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_s^- := \{a_{-t} : 0 \le t \le s\}.$$

Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded Borel set. Our goal in this section is to give an effective estimate of the following counting function:

$$N_T(\mathcal{P}, E) := \#\{C \in \mathcal{P} : C \cap E \neq \emptyset,\ \mathrm{Curv}(C) < T\},$$

where $\mathrm{Curv}(C)$ equals the reciprocal of the radius of C.

3.1. **Reformulation into orbit counting problem.** In this subsection, we relate $N_T(\mathcal{P}, E)$ to a counting function of a discrete Γ-orbit on $H\backslash G$ and prove Proposition 3.5, which is a more precise version of Proposition 3.7 in [12]. For an effective estimate on $N_T(\mathcal{P}, E)$, it is important for us to understand the independence of m_0 from ϵ and the size of T in terms of ϵ.

Fix a left invariant Riemannian metric on G, which induces the hyperbolic metric on $G/K = \mathbb{H}^3$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, set U_ϵ to be the symmetric ϵ-neighborhood of e in G. For any subset $W \subset G$, denote $W_\epsilon = U_\epsilon \cap W$. Define

$$E_\epsilon^+ := \bigcup_{u \in U_\epsilon} uE, \quad \text{and} \quad E_\epsilon^- := \bigcap_{u \in U_\epsilon} uE. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$, independent of E, such that for any small $\epsilon > 0$, E_ϵ^+ contains the $c_0\epsilon$-neighborhood of E in the Euclidean metric. We fix this constant c_0 in the following.

Proposition 3.2. *For any $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and for any $T > \frac{1}{c_0\epsilon}$, we have*

$$N_T(\mathcal{P}, E) \leq \#\{C \in \mathcal{P} : \hat{C} \cap N_{E_\epsilon^+} A^-_{\log T} j \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that if C is a circle in $\mathcal{P}$ intersecting E with $\mathrm{Curv}(C) < T$ for some $T > \frac{1}{c_0\epsilon}$, then the intersection $\hat{C} \cap N_{E_\epsilon^+} A^-_{\log T} j$ is non-empty.

Note that

$$N_{E_\epsilon^+} A^-_{\log T} j = \{z + lj : z \in E_\epsilon^+, T^{-1} \leq l \leq 1\}.$$

Let $C \in \mathcal{P}$ be such that $C \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathrm{Curv}(C) < T$ for some $T > \frac{1}{c_0\epsilon}$. Let z_0 and r_C be the center and the radius of C respectively.

If $r_C \leq c_0\epsilon$, then $z_0 \in E_\epsilon^+$ and $z_0 + r_C j$ belongs to $\hat{C} \cap N_{E_\epsilon^+} A^-_{\log T} j$.

Now suppose $r_C > c_0\epsilon$. Choosing $z \in C \cap E$, set $w = (r_C - c_0\epsilon)\frac{z - z_0}{|z - z_0|} + z_0$. Then $w \in E_\epsilon^+$. Let $r > 0$ be such that $w + rj$ lies in $\hat{C}$. Hence

$$r = \sqrt{r_C^2 - |w - z_0|^2} > T^{-1}.$$

Then any point lying on the geodesic between $w + rj$ and z and of Euclidean height greater than T^{-1} and less than 1 lies in $N_{E_\epsilon^+} A^-_{\log T} j$. This proves the claim. □

Proposition 3.3. *If E is connected, then there exists a positive integer m_0, depending on E, such that for any small $\epsilon > 0$, and any $T > 0$,*

$$N_T(\mathcal{P}, E) \geq \#\{C \in \mathcal{P} : \hat{C} \cap N_E A^-_{\log T} j \neq \emptyset\} - m_0.$$

Proof. By the local finiteness condition on $\mathcal{P}$ there are only finitely many lines in $\mathcal{P}$ which intersect E. Denote by W_T the set of all circles $C \in \mathcal{P}$ of positive curvature such that $\hat{C} \cap N_E A^-_{\log T} j \neq \emptyset$ and $C \cap E = \emptyset$. It suffices to prove that $\cup_T W_T$ consists of finitely many circles.

We claim that any circle in $\cup_T W_T$ must have radius bigger than $d_E/2$, as well as intersect the $2/d_E$-neighborhood of E where d_E is the diameter of E.

If $C \in \cup_T W_T$, it follows from the assumption of E being connected that E is contained in the open disc enclosed by C, and hence the radius of C is at least $d_E/2$. Picking an arbitrary point $z + sj \in \hat{C} \cap N_E A^-_{\log T} j$, we let $w \in C$ be such that $d(w, z) = d(C, z)$. Then

$$d(w, z) = r_C - \sqrt{r_C^2 - s^2} \leq s^2/r_C.$$

As mentioned above, $r_C > d_E/2$ and hence $d(w,z) \le 2/d_E$. Therefore C intersects the $2/d_E$-neighborhood of E non trivially, proving the claim. Now the proposition follows from the assumption that $\mathcal{P}$ is locally finite. □

Definition 3.4 (Definition of $B_T(E)$)**.** For $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $T > 1$, define the subset $B_T(E)$ of $H\backslash G$ to be the image of the set

$$KA^+_{\log T}N_{-E} = \{ka_t n_{-z} \in G : k \in K, 0 \le t \le \log T, z \in E\}$$

under the canonical projection $G \to H\backslash G$.

Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 imply the following estimate:

Proposition 3.5 (cf. Proposition 3.7 in [12])**.** *Suppose E is connected. We have, for all small $\epsilon > 0$ and for any $T > \frac{1}{c_0\epsilon}$,*

$$\#([e]\Gamma \cap B_T(E)) - m_0 \le N_T(\Gamma(C_0), E) \le \#([e]\Gamma \cap B_T(E^+_\epsilon)),$$

where m_0 is a positive integer only depending on E.

3.2. **Control the shape of $B_T(E)$ in HAN-decomposition.** In this subsection, we study the shape of $B_T(E)$ in an effective way. Note that there exists $c_1 > 0$ depending on the metric of G, such that for all small $\epsilon > 0$, $K_\epsilon(0)$ contains the disk of radius $c_1\epsilon$ centered at 0. Set

$$T_\epsilon = -\log(c_1\epsilon).$$

The aim of this subsection is to obtain the following:

Proposition 3.6. *There exists $c > 0$ (independent of E) such that for all sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, we have for all sufficiently large $T > 1$*

$$B_T(E) \subset \bigcup_{0\le t\le T_\epsilon} H\backslash Ha_tK(t)N_{-E} \cup \bigcup_{T_\epsilon - c\epsilon \le t \le \log T + c\epsilon} H\backslash Ha_tN_{-E^+_{c\epsilon}},$$

where $K(t) = \{k \in K : a_tk \in HKA^+\}$.

To prove Propostion 3.6, first of all, as shown in Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 in [12], we have, for any $T > 1$,

$$HKA^+_{\log T} = \bigcup_{0\le t\le \log T} Ha_tK(t). \tag{3.7}$$

Lemma 3.8 (Proposition 4.2 (2) in [12])**.** *Given any small $\epsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\{k \in K : a_tk \in HKA^+ \text{ for some } t > T_\epsilon\} \subset K_\epsilon M.$$

This lemma follows from Proposition 4.2 (2) in [12] together with the observation that $K_\epsilon(0)$ contains the disk of radius $c_1\epsilon$ centered at 0 for all small $\epsilon > 0$.

Lemma 3.9. *There exist $c_2 > 1$ and $t_0 > 1$, such that for any sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$a_tkm_\theta \in Ha_tA_{c_2\epsilon}N_{c_2\epsilon}$$

holds for any $t > t_0$, $k \in K_\epsilon$ and $m_\theta \in M$.

Proof. Fix $k \in K_\epsilon$ and $m_\theta \in M$. The product map $N^- \times A \times M \times N \to G$ is a diffeomorphism at a neighborhood of e, in particular, bi-Lipschitz. Hence there exists $l_1 > 1$ such that for all small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$K_\epsilon \subset N^-_{l_1\epsilon}A_{l_1\epsilon}M_{l_1\epsilon}N_{l_1\epsilon}.$$

So k can be written as

$$k = n_1^- a_{s_1} m_{\theta_1} n_1,$$

where $n_1^- \in N_{l_1\epsilon}^-$, $a_{s_1} \in A_{l_1\epsilon}$, $m_{\theta_1} \in M_{l_1\epsilon}$ and $n_1 \in N_{l_1\epsilon}$.

And

$$\begin{aligned} a_t k m_\theta &= a_t n_1^- a_{s_1} m_{\theta_1} n_1 m_\theta \\ &= (a_t n_1^- a_{-t}) a_{t+s_1} m_{\theta_1} n_1 m_\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Set t_0 to be a constant such that for all $t > t_0$, $a_t n_1^- a_{-t} \in N_\epsilon^-$. Due to the $H \times A \times N$ product decomposition of G_ϵ, there exists $l_2 > 1$ such that for all small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$N_\epsilon^- \subset H_{l_2\epsilon} A_{l_2\epsilon} N_{l_2\epsilon}.$$

Continuing with (3.10), we write

$$\begin{aligned} a_t k m_\theta &= h_2 a_{s_2} n_2 a_{t+s_1} m_{\theta_1} n_1 m_\theta \\ &= h_2 m_{\theta+\theta_1} a_{t+s_1+s_2} (m_{-\theta-\theta_1} a_{-(t+s_1)} n_2 a_{t+s_1} m_{\theta+\theta_1})(m_{-\theta} n_1 m_\theta) \\ &\in H a_t A_{(l_1+l_2)\epsilon} N_{(l_1+l_2)\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_2 \in H_{l_2\epsilon}$, $a_{s_2} \in A_{l_2\epsilon}$ and $n_2 \in N_{l_2\epsilon}$.

Setting the constant $c_2 = l_1 + l_2$, we have

$$a_t k m_\theta \in H a_t A_{c_2\epsilon} N_{c_2\epsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 3.6. For all sufficiently large $T > 1$, we have, by (3.7) and Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9,

$$\begin{aligned} & B_T(E) \\ = & \bigcup_{0\le t\le T_\epsilon} H\backslash H a_t K(t) N_{-E} \cup \bigcup_{T_\epsilon \le t \le \log T} H\backslash H a_t K(t) N_{-E} \\ = & \bigcup_{0\le t\le T_\epsilon} H\backslash H a_t K(t) N_{-E} \cup \bigcup_{T_\epsilon \le t \le \log T} H\backslash H a_t K_\epsilon M N_{-E} \\ = & \bigcup_{0\le t\le T_\epsilon} H\backslash H a_t K(t) N_{-E} \cup \bigcup_{T_\epsilon - c_2\epsilon \le t \le \log T + c_2\epsilon} H\backslash H a_t N_{c_2\epsilon} N_{-E}. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3. **On the measure ω_Γ.**

Definition 3.11. Define a locally finite Borel measure ω_Γ on $\mathbb{C}$ as follows: fixing $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$, for $\psi \in C_c(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\omega_\Gamma(\psi) = \int_{z\in\mathbb{C}} e^{\delta_\Gamma \beta_z(x, z+j)} \psi(z) d\nu_{\Gamma,x}(z).$$

Note that the definition of ω_Γ is independent of the choice of $x \in \mathbb{H}^3$ by the conformal properties of $\nu_{\Gamma,x}$.

In [12], they provide a convenient formula to calculate the Busemann function:

Lemma 3.12 (Lemma 5.2 in [12])**.** *For any $x = p + rj \in \mathbb{H}^3$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\beta_z(p + rj, z + j) = \log \frac{|z-p|^2 + r^2}{r}.$$

This lemma gives another formula of ω_Γ: for any $\psi \in C_c(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\omega_\Gamma(\psi) = \int_{z\in\mathbb{C}} (|z-1|^2+1)^\delta \psi(z) d\nu_j(z).$$

Definition 3.13. For $s > 0$, the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^s$ of a closed subset E of $\mathbb{C}$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}^s(E) := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \inf\{\sum_{i\in I} \mathrm{diam}(D_i)^s : E \subset_{i\in I} D_i,\ \mathrm{diam}(D_i) \le \epsilon\}.$$

Sullivan ([17]) showed that when Γ is convex-cocompact or it has no rank 2 cusps, for any Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\omega_\Gamma(E) = \mathcal{H}^{\delta_\Gamma}(E \cap \Lambda(\Gamma)),$$

where $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ is the limit set of Γ.

3.3.1. *Relation between ω_Γ and m^{BR}.* For a bounded Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, let E_ϵ^+ and E_ϵ^- be the sets defined as (3.1).

For small $\epsilon > 0$, let ψ^ϵ be a non-negative smooth function in $C(G)$ supported in U_ϵ with integral one. Set $\Psi^\epsilon \in C_c^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G)$ to be the Γ-average of ψ^ϵ:

$$\Psi^\epsilon(\Gamma g) := \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \psi^\epsilon(\gamma g).$$

For a bounded Borel subset $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, let

$$h_E = \max_{z\in E} |z| + 1.$$

Proposition 3.14 (Lemma 5.7 in [12])**.** *There exists $c > 0$ independent of E such that for all small $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$(1 - c\cdot h_E\cdot\epsilon)\cdot\omega_\Gamma(E_\epsilon^-) \le \int_{z\in E} m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi^\epsilon_{-z}) dn_{-z} \le (1 + c\cdot h_E\cdot\epsilon)\cdot\omega_\Gamma(E_\epsilon^+).$$

Here $\Psi^\epsilon_{-z} \in C_c^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G)^M$ is given by $\Psi^\epsilon_{-z}(g) = \int_{m\in M} \Psi^\epsilon(gmn_{-z})dm$.

3.3.2. *Regularity criterion for ω_Γ.* Fix a bounded Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$. To apply the effective result in our paper, it is important to understand the difference between $\omega_\Gamma(E_\epsilon^\pm)$ and $\omega_\Gamma(E)$.

Definition 3.15 (Regularity condition)**.** We call a bounded Borel subset $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ regular if there exists $0 < p < 1$ such that for all small $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\omega_\Gamma(E_\epsilon^+ - E_\epsilon^-) = O(\epsilon^p), \tag{3.16}$$

with the implied constant depending only on E.

Proposition 3.17 (see [15])**.** *Suppose that Γ is convex-cocompact and Zariski dense. For any bounded set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, if ∂E is a finite union of proper subvarieties, then E is regular in the above sense.*

The following proposition gives another regularity criterion for Γ with cusps.

Denote by $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ the limit set of Γ and $\Lambda_p(\Gamma)$ the set of parabolic limit points. For $\xi \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)$, the rank of ξ is the rank of the abelian subgroup of Γ which fixes ξ. As $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$, then $\mathrm{rank}(\xi)$ is either 1 or 2.

Proposition 3.18. *Let* $k_0 := \max_{\xi\in\Lambda_p(\Gamma)} \mathrm{rank}(\xi)$. *If the critical exponent of* Γ *satisfies*

$$\delta > \max\{1, \frac{k_0+1}{2}\},$$

then any bounded Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ *with* ∂E *a finite union of rectifiable curves is regular.*

Proof. The proof is adapted from the proof of Proposition 7.10 in [7]. For $\xi \in \partial\mathbb{H}^3$, denote by $s_\xi = \{\xi_t : t \in [0,\infty)\}$ the geodesic ray emanating from j toward ξ and let $S(\xi_t) \in \mathbb{H}^3$ be the unique 2-dimensional geodesic plane which is orthogonal to s_ξ at the point ξ_t. Denote by $b(\xi_t)$ the projection from j onto $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ of $S(\xi_t)$, that is, let

$$b(\xi_t) := \{\xi' \in \partial\mathbb{H}^3 : s_{\xi'} \cap S(\xi_t) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

By the work of Sullivan ([17]) and [16], there exists a Γ-invariant collection of pairwise disjoint horoballs $\{\mathcal{H}_\xi : \xi \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)\}$ for which the following holds: there exists a constant $c > 1$ such that for any $\xi \in \Lambda(\Gamma)$ and for any $t > 0$,

$$c^{-1}e^{-\delta t}e^{d(\xi_t,\Gamma(j))(k(\xi_t)-\delta)} \le v_j(b(\xi_t)) \le ce^{-\delta t}e^{d(\xi_t,\Gamma(j))(k(\xi_t)-\delta)},$$

where $k(\xi_t)$ is the rank of ξ' if $\xi_t \in \mathcal{H}_{\xi'}$ for some $\xi' \in \Lambda_p(\Gamma)$ and δ otherwise.

Therefore, using $0 \le d(\xi_t, \Gamma(j)) \le t$, it follows that for any $\xi \in \Lambda(\Gamma)$ and $t > 1$,

$$\nu_j(b(\xi_t)) \ll \begin{cases} e^{(-2\delta+k(\xi_t))t} & \text{if } k(\xi_t) \ge \delta \\ e^{-\delta t} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

By standard computation in hyperbolic geometry, for any bounded set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, there exist $c' > 1$ and $0 < r_0 < 1$ such that for any $t > -\log r_0$ and $\xi \in E$,

$$B(\xi, e^{-t}/c') \subset b(\xi_t) \subset B(\xi, c'e^{-t})$$

where $B(\xi, r)$ is the closed Euclidean ball in $\partial\mathbb{H}^3$ of radius r.

Since $d\omega_\Gamma = (|z|^2+1)^{\delta_\Gamma} d\nu_j$, setting $k_0 := \max_{\xi'\in\Lambda_p(\Gamma)} \mathrm{rank}(\xi')$, it follows from (3.19) that for all small $\epsilon > 0$ and $\xi \in \Lambda(\Gamma) \cap E$

$$\omega_\Gamma(B(\xi,\epsilon)) \ll \nu_j(B(\xi,\epsilon)) \ll \epsilon^\delta + \epsilon^{2\delta-k_0}.$$

Suppose ∂E is a finite union of rectifiable curves. The collection

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\xi \in \partial E : B(\xi,\epsilon)\}$$

forms a Besicovitch covering for ∂E. By the Besicovitch covering theorem, there exist $d \in \mathbb{N}$ (independent of ϵ and E) and a countable subcollection of $\mathcal{F}$, say $\{B(\xi_n,\epsilon)\}$, such that

$$\partial E \subset \bigcup B(\xi_n,\epsilon),$$

and the multiplicity of the cover $\bigcup B(\xi_n,\epsilon)$ is at most d.

Since ∂E is a finite union of rectifiable curves, we have

$$\sum l(B(\xi_n,\epsilon) \cap \partial E) \ll l(\partial E) < \infty, \tag{3.20}$$

where $l(\cdot)$ denotes the length function.

Since $\xi_n \in \partial E$, $B(\xi_n,\epsilon) \cap \partial E$ contains at least two segments connecting ξ_n with $\partial B(\xi_n,\epsilon)$. Hence (3.20) implies

$$\#\{B(\xi_n,\epsilon)\} = O(\epsilon^{-1}).$$

We can cover the ϵ-neighborhood of ∂E by $\{B(\xi_n, 2\epsilon)\}$. Note that ν_j is supported on $\Lambda(\Gamma)$. For each ball in this open cover intersecting $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ but its center outside $\Lambda(\Gamma)$, we replace it by a ball of radius 4ϵ with center in $\Lambda(\Gamma)$. Hence

$$\omega_\Gamma(\epsilon\text{-nbhd of } \partial E) = O(\epsilon^{\delta-1} + \epsilon^{2\delta-k_0-1}), \tag{3.21}$$

where the constant depending only on E.

Therefore, if $\delta > \max\{1, \frac{k_0+1}{2}\}$, E is regular. □

3.4. **Conclusion.** We keep the notations from Section 2. One of our main theorems is the following:

Theorem 3.22. *Assume Γ is either convex-cocompact or its critical exponent δ is greater than 1. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a locally finite circle packing in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ invariant under Γ with finitely many Γ-orbits. For any bounded connected regular set E of $\mathbb{C}$, there exists $\eta > 0$, such that as $T \to \infty$, we have*

$$N_T(\mathcal{P}, E) = \frac{\mathrm{sk}_\Gamma(\mathcal{P})}{\delta\, |m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}\, T^\delta\, \omega_\Gamma(E) + O(T^{\delta-\eta}).$$

The rest of the section is denoted to the proof of Theorem 3.22.

For $\psi \in C^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G)$ and $1 \le l \le \infty$, we will consider the following L^2-Sobolev norm of degree l:

$$S_l(\psi) = \sum \|X(\psi)\|_2,$$

where the sum is taken over all monomials X in a fixed basis of the Lie algebra of G of order at most l and $\|X(\psi)\|_2$ is the $L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)$-norm of $X(\psi)$. For $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Gamma_H\backslash H)$, $S_l(\varphi)$ is defined similarly.

The key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 3.22 is the following effective equidistribution result:

Theorem 3.23 ([7])**.** *Assume Γ is convex-cocompact or its critical exponent $\delta > 1$. Suppose the natural projection $\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger \to \Gamma\backslash G/M$ is proper. Then there exist $\eta_0 > 0$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any compact subset $\Omega \subset \Gamma\backslash G/M$, any $\Psi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and any bounded $\phi \in C^\infty(\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger)$, as $t \to \infty$,*

$$e^{(2-\delta)t} \int_{h \in \Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger} \Psi(ha_t)\phi(h)dh = \frac{1}{|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|} \mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}(\phi) m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi) + O(S_l(\Psi)\cdot S_l(\phi) e^{-\eta_0 t}),$$

where the implied constant depends only on Ω.

Remark 3.24.

(1) If $\delta > 1$, then Γ is Zariski dense (Lemma 2.11 in [8]).
(2) Sullivan showed that the critical exponent of Γ equals the Hausdorff dimension of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ when Γ is geometrically finite ([17]). See [1] and [2] for examples for results when the Hausdorff dimension of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ is greater than 1.
(3) Strictly speaking, Theorem 3.23 in [7] is shown using the exponential mixing of geodesic flow on $T^1(\Gamma\backslash G/M)$. Such an exponential mixing is provided in [18] and [14] for Γ convex-cocompact and in [7] for Γ with critical exponent greater than 1.
(4) In Lemma 3.2 of [12], it is shown that the properness of $\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger \to \Gamma\backslash G/M$ is equivalent to the local finiteness of the circle packing $\Gamma(C_0)$.

Denote by dm the probability measure on M. Then $d\tilde{\mu}_H^{\mathrm{Leb}}dm$ defines a Haar measure on $H \cong H/M \times M$. We denote this measure by dh. The following defines a Haar measure on G: for $g = ha_rk \in HA^+K$,

$$dg = 4\sinh r \cdot \cosh r dh dr dm_j(k)$$

where $dm_j(k) := dm_j(kX_0^+)$.

Denote by $d\lambda$ the unique G-invariant measure on $H\backslash G$ which is compatible with the dg and dh.

For every $T > 1$, and $0 < \epsilon < 1$, denote

$$V_{T,\epsilon}^+(E_{2\epsilon}^+) := \{a_s n_{-z} : z \in E_{2\epsilon}^+,\ -\epsilon \le s \le \log T + \epsilon\},$$
$$V_{T,\epsilon}^-(E_{2\epsilon}^-) := \{a_s n_{-z} : z \in E_{2\epsilon}^-,\ \epsilon \le s \le \log T - \epsilon\}.$$

Lemma 3.25 (Lemma 6.3 in [12]). *There exists $c_3 > 0$, such that for all $T > 1$ and for all small $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$KA_{\log T}^+ N_{-E_\epsilon^+} U_{c_3\epsilon} \subset KV_{T,\epsilon}^+(E_{2\epsilon}^+),$$
$$KV_{T,\epsilon}^-(E_{2\epsilon}^-)U_{c_3\epsilon} \subset KA_{\log T}^+ N_{-E}.$$

For simplicity, denote the subsets:

$$W_{T,\epsilon}^+ := H\backslash HKV_{T,\epsilon}^+(E_{2\epsilon}^+) \text{ and } W_{T,\epsilon}^- := H\backslash HKV_{T,\epsilon}^-(E_{2\epsilon}^-). \tag{3.26}$$

Define the counting functions $F_T^{\epsilon,\pm}$ on $\Gamma\backslash G$:

$$F_T^{\epsilon,+}(g) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_H\backslash\Gamma} \chi_{W_{T,\epsilon}^+}([e]\gamma g) \text{ and } F_T^{\epsilon,-}(g) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_H\backslash\Gamma} \chi_{W_{T,\epsilon}^-}([e]\gamma g).$$

Let c_3 be as Lemma 3.25. The following lemma can easily be deduced from Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.25.

Lemma 3.27 (cf. Lemma 6.4 in [12]). *Given any small $\epsilon > 0$, we have, for all $g \in U_{c_3\epsilon}$ and $T > \frac{1}{c_0\epsilon}$*

$$F_T^{\epsilon,-}(g) - m_0 \le N_T(\Gamma(C_0), E) \le F_T^{\epsilon,+}(g), \tag{3.28}$$

where m_0 is a positive integer depending only on E.

Proof of Theorem 3.22. **Step 1:** We first prove the theorem for $\mathcal{P} = \Gamma(C_0)$.

For $\epsilon > 0$, let ψ^ϵ be a non-negative function in $C_c^\infty(G)$, supported in $U_{c_3\epsilon}$ with integral one. Denote $\Psi^\epsilon \in C_c^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G)$ to be the Γ-average of ψ^ϵ.

For $T > \frac{1}{c_0\epsilon}$, integrating (3.28) against Ψ^ϵ, we have

$$\langle F_T^{\epsilon,-}, \Psi^\epsilon\rangle - m_0 \le N_T(\Gamma(C_0), E) \le \langle F_T^{\epsilon,+}, \Psi^\epsilon\rangle.$$

For $\langle F_T^{\epsilon,+}, \Psi^\epsilon\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F_T^{\epsilon,+}, \Psi^\epsilon\rangle &= \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_H\backslash\Gamma} \chi_{W_{T,\epsilon}^+}([e]\gamma g)\Psi^\epsilon(g)dg \\ &= \int_{g\in\Gamma_H\backslash G} \chi_{W_{T,\epsilon}^+}([e]g)\Psi^\epsilon(g)dg \\ &= \int_{g\in W_{T,\epsilon}^+} \int_{h\in\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger} \int_{m\in M} \Psi^\epsilon(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g).\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Consider the set $W^+_{T,\epsilon}$ defined in (3.26). The HA^+K-decomposition and the proof of Proposition 4.2 (1) in [12] imply

$$W^+_{T,\epsilon} = \bigcup_{0\le s\le \log T+\epsilon} H\backslash Ha_sK(s)N_{-E^+_{2\epsilon}},$$

where the set $K(\cdot)$ is defined as Proposition 3.6. Applying Proposition 3.6, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} W^+_{T,\epsilon} &\subset \bigcup_{0\le t\le T_\epsilon} H\backslash Ha_tK(t)N_{-E^+_{2\epsilon}} \cup \bigcup_{T_\epsilon-\rho_1\epsilon\le t\le \log T+\rho_1\epsilon} H\backslash Ha_tN_{-E^+_{\rho_1\epsilon}} \\ &:= V_1\cup V_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

where $T_\epsilon = -\log(c_1\epsilon)$ and $\rho_1>0$ is some constant.

Notice that the measure $e^{2t}dtdn$ is a right invariant measure of AN and $[e]AN$ is open subset in $H\backslash G$. Hence $d\lambda(a_tn)$ (restricted to $[e]AN$) and $e^{2t}dtdn$ are constant multiplies of each other. It follows from the formula of dg that $d\lambda(a_tn)=e^{2t}dtdn$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{V_2}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_M \Psi^\epsilon(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g) \\ =&\int_{z\in E^+_{\rho_1\epsilon}}\int_{T_\epsilon-\rho_1\epsilon}^{\log T+\rho_1\epsilon} e^{2s}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_M \Psi^\epsilon(hma_sn_{-z})dmdhdsdn_{-z} \\ \le&\int_{z\in E^+_{\rho_1\epsilon}}\int_{T_\epsilon-\rho_1\epsilon}^{\log T+\rho_1\epsilon} \frac{|\mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}|}{|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi^\epsilon_{-z})e^{\delta s}+\rho_2\cdot(S_l(\Psi^\epsilon_{-z})e^{(\delta-\eta_0)s})dsdn_{-z} \\ \le&\frac{|\mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}|}{\delta\,|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}T^\delta(1+\rho_3\cdot\epsilon)\int_{z\in E^+_{\rho_1\epsilon}} m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi^\epsilon_{-z})dn_{-z}+\rho_3\cdot(S_l(\Psi^\epsilon)T^{\delta-\eta_0}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

where $\Psi^\epsilon_{-z}(g)=\int_{m\in M}\Psi^\epsilon(gmn_{-z})dm$ and ρ_2, $\rho_3>0$ are some constants. For the last inequality, since E is bounded, then $\sup_{z\in E^+_{\rho_1\epsilon}} S_l(\Psi^\epsilon_{-z})\ll S_l(\Psi^\epsilon)$.

Since E is bounded and regular, Proposition 3.14 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{z\in E^+_{\rho_1\epsilon}} m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi^\epsilon_{-z})dn_{-z} \le&(1+\rho_4\cdot\epsilon)\cdot\omega_\Gamma(E^+_{\rho_4\epsilon}) \\ \le&(1+\rho_5\cdot\epsilon^p)\,\omega_\Gamma(E), \end{aligned}$$

where ρ_4, $\rho_5>0$ are some constants and p is the constant appearing in the definition of the regularity of E (Definition 3.15).

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{V_2}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_M \Psi^\epsilon(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g) \\ \le&\frac{|\mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}|}{\delta\,|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}T^\delta\omega_\Gamma(E)+\rho_6\cdot(\epsilon^pT^\delta+\epsilon^{-(3+l)}T^{\delta-\eta_0}) \qquad (\text{for some }\rho_6>0), \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

where we use the estimate $S_l(\Psi^\epsilon)=O(\epsilon^{-(3+l)})$ since dim G=6.

Consider V_1 in (3.30). Fix small ρ_7 such that $\rho_7>\epsilon$. We decompose V_1 into two parts by applying Proposition 3.6:

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &\subset \bigcup_{0\le t\le T_1} H\backslash Ha_tK(t)N_{-E^+_{2\epsilon}} \cup \bigcup_{T_1-\rho_8\rho_7\le t\le T_\epsilon+\rho_8\rho_7} H\backslash Ha_tN_{-E^+_{\rho_8\rho_7}} \\ &=: V_3\cup V_4, \end{aligned}$$

where $T_1:=-\log(c_1\rho_7)$ and $\rho_8>0$ is some constant.

For V_4, by the similar way as we get (3.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{V_4}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_M \Psi^\epsilon(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g)\\ \leq& 8\, e^{\delta T_\epsilon}\frac{|\mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}|}{\delta\,|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}\omega_\Gamma(E^+_{\rho_9\rho_7}),\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $\rho_9>0$.

Since E is bounded and ρ_7 is fixed, $\omega_\Gamma(E^+_{\rho_9\rho_7})=O(1)$. As $T_\epsilon=-\log(c_1\epsilon)$, we get

$$\int_{V_4}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_M \Psi^\epsilon(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g)=O(\epsilon^{-\delta}). \tag{3.33}$$

For V_3, reversing the process of translating the circle counting into orbit counting, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{V_3}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_M \Psi^\epsilon(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g)\\ =&O(\#\{C\in\Gamma(C_0): C\cap E^+_{2\epsilon}\neq\emptyset, \mathrm{Curv}(C)\leq T_1\})\\ =&O(1),\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

since T_1 is fixed.

Adding (3.32), (3.33) and (3.34) together, we get

$$\langle F_T^{\epsilon,+},\Psi^\epsilon\rangle\leq\frac{|\mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}|}{\delta\,|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}T^\delta\omega_\Gamma(E)+\rho_{10}\cdot(\epsilon^pT^\delta+\epsilon^{-(3+l)}T^{\delta-\eta_0}+\epsilon^{-\delta}),$$

for some constant $\rho_{10}>0$.

Set $\eta'=\min\{\frac{\delta p}{\delta+p},\frac{\eta_0 p}{3+l+p}\}$ and $\epsilon=\max\{T^{\frac{\eta'}{\delta}-1},T^{\frac{\eta'-\eta_0}{3+l}}\}$. Then $T>\frac{1}{c_0\epsilon}$. Hence

$$N_T(\Gamma(C_0),E)\leq\langle F_T^{\epsilon,+},\Psi^\epsilon\rangle\leq\frac{|\mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}|}{\delta\,|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}T^\delta\omega_\Gamma(E)+\rho_{10}\cdot T^{\delta-\eta'}.$$

For $\langle F_T^{\epsilon,-},\Psi^\epsilon\rangle$, the definition of $W^-_{T,\epsilon}$ (3.26) implies the following inclusion:

$$W^-_{T,\epsilon}\supset\bigcup_{T_2\leq t\leq\log T-\epsilon}H\backslash Ha_tN_{-E^-_{2\epsilon}},$$

where T_2 is some large fixed number.

Using similar argument as above, we have

$$\langle F_T^{\epsilon,-},\Psi^\epsilon\rangle\geq\frac{|\mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}|}{\delta\,|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}T^\delta\omega_\Gamma(E)+\rho_{11}\cdot T^{\delta-\eta''}, \tag{3.35}$$

for some constants $\rho_{11},\eta''>0$.

Therefore, there exists $\eta>0$ so that as $T\to\infty$, we have

$$N_T(\Gamma(C_0),E)=\frac{|\mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}|}{\delta\,|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}\,T^\delta\,\omega_\Gamma(E)+O(T^{\delta-\eta}). \tag{3.36}$$

Step 2: We prove the theorem for a general circle packing.

Let C be any circle in the circle packing $\mathcal{P}$. Denote the radius of C by r and the center of C by z_0. Set

$$g_C:=n_{z_0}a_{\log r}=\begin{pmatrix}1&z_0\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{r}&0\\0&\sqrt{r^{-1}}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $g_C(C_0) = C$. And

$$N_T(\Gamma(C), E) = N_{rT}(g_C^{-1}\Gamma g_C, g_C^{-1}(E)).$$

Therefore

$$N_T(\Gamma(C), E) = \frac{|\mu^{\mathrm{PS}}_{g_C^{-1}\Gamma g_C, H}|}{\delta \cdot |m^{\mathrm{BMS}}_{g_C^{-1}\Gamma g_C}|}(rT)^\delta \omega_{g_C^{-1}\Gamma g_C}(g_C^{-1}(E)) + O(T^{\delta-\eta}) \tag{3.37}$$

$$= \frac{|\mu^{\mathrm{PS}}_{g_C^{-1}\Gamma g_C, H}|}{\delta \cdot |m^{\mathrm{BMS}}_{\Gamma}|} T^\delta \omega_\Gamma(E) + O(T^{\delta-\eta}),$$

where we apply Lemma 6.5 and 6.7 in [12]. Since $\mathcal{P}$ consists of finitely many Γ-orbits, (3.37) implies our theorem. □

4. Effective circle count for circle packing in ideal triangle of $\mathbb{H}^2$

We use the upper half plane model for $\mathbb{H}^2$:

$$\mathbb{H}^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Im}\, z > 0\}.$$

For any circle packing $\mathcal{P}$ contained in $\mathbb{H}^2$ and any $t > 0$, we define the following counting function:

$$N_t(\mathcal{P}) := \#\{C \in \mathcal{P} : \mathrm{Area}_{\mathrm{hyp}}(C) > t\},$$

where $\mathrm{Area}_{\mathrm{hyp}}(C)$ is the hyperbolic area of the disk enclosed by C.

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the ideal triangle in $\mathbb{H}^2$ whose three sides are given by the circles $\{x = \pm 1\}$ and $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$ be the circle packing attained by filling in the largest inner circles. This section is denoted to give an effective estimate of $N_t(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}))$.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_0$ the Apollonian circle packing generated by the four circles $\{x = \pm 1\}$, $\{|z| = 1\}$ and $\{|z - 2i| = 1\}$. Note that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$ is a part of $\mathcal{P}_0$ (see Figure 3). Denote by C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4 the circles $\{|z - (1 + i)| = 1\}$, $\{|z - (-1 + i)| = 1\}$, $\{y = 0\}$ and $\{y = 2\}$ respectively. Set S_i to be the Möbius transformation with respect to C_i. Denote $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cap \langle S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4\rangle$ by $\mathcal{A}$. Fix Γ a torsion-free finite index subgroup of $\mathcal{A}$. Recall that α is the Hausdorff dimension of the residual set of the Apollonian circle packing. Moreover α is the critical exponent of Γ.



Figure 3. Apollonian circle packing $\mathcal{P}$ and generators of $\mathcal{A}$

Notation 4.1. (1) Throughout the paper we let η be a constant in $(0, \frac{1}{4})$ whose constraint will be determined later. For all small $t > 0$, set

$$\mathcal{T}(\eta, t) := \{x + iy \in \mathcal{T} : t^\eta \le y \le t^{-\eta}\}.$$

(2) For any circle packing $\mathcal{P}$ in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ and subset $E \subset \mathbb{H}^2$, set

$$\mathcal{P} \cap E := \{C \in \mathcal{P} : C \cap E \neq \emptyset\}.$$

4.1. **Reformulation into orbit counting problem.** Let C be a hyperbolic circle in $\mathbb{H}^2$:

$$C = \{z \in \mathbb{H}^2 : d_{\text{hyp}}(z, z_0) = \rho\}$$

with $z = x_0 + iy_0$ and d_{hyp} the hyperbolic distance. Then the hyperbolic area of C is given by

$$\text{Area}_{\text{hyp}}(C) = 4\pi \sinh^2(\rho/2). \tag{4.2}$$

Note that a hyperbolic circle is also an Euclidean circle and vice versa. Denote the Euclidean center and Euclidean radius of C by e_C and r_C respectively. Then

$$e_C = x_0 + i(2R^2+1)y_0 \text{ and } r_C = 2y_0R\sqrt{R^2+1}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $R = \sinh(\rho/2)$.

Set $\beta(t) = \frac{\sqrt{t(4\pi+t)}}{(2\pi+t)}$. For any $C \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})$, (4.2) and (4.3) imply

$$\text{Area}_{\text{hyp}}(C) > t \Longleftrightarrow r_C > \text{Im}(e_C) \cdot \beta(t). \tag{4.4}$$

Fix a circle packing $\mathcal{P}$ contained in $\mathcal{T}$ in the following. Then $N_t(\mathcal{P})$ equals to the following counting function:

$$N_t(\mathcal{P}) = \{C \in \mathcal{P} : r_C > \text{Im}(e_C) \cdot \beta(t)\}. \tag{4.5}$$

For every small $t > 0$ define functions on $\mathbb{H}^2$:

$$h_t^+(z) = -\log(\beta(t)\,\text{Im}(z)) \text{ and } h_t^-(z) = -\log((1+t)\beta(t)\,\text{Im}(z)). \tag{4.6}$$

And for every subset $E \subset \mathcal{T}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} P_+(E) &:= \{a_s n_{-z} : z \in E \text{ and } 0 \le s \le h_t^+(z)\}, \\ P_-(E) &:= \{a_s n_{-z} : z \in E, \text{ and } 0 \le s \le h_t^-(z)\} \\ B_+(E) &= (P_+(E))^{-1} \text{ and } B_-(E) = (P_-(E))^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Lemma 4.8. *For all small $t > 0$, the following holds*

$$N_t(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{T}(\eta, t)) \le \#\left\{C \in \mathcal{P} : \hat{C} \cap B_+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))j \neq \emptyset\right\} + n(\mathcal{P}, t),$$

where $n(\mathcal{P}, t) := \#\{C \in \mathcal{P} : \text{Area}_{\text{hyp}}(C) > t,\ e_C \notin \mathcal{T}(\eta, t)\}$.

Noting $B_+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))j = \{z + rj \in \mathbb{H}^3 : z \in \mathcal{T}(\eta,t),\ \beta(t)\,\text{Im}(z) < r \le 1\}$, this can be easily verified using (4.4).

Lemma 4.9. *For all small $t > 0$, the following holds*

$$N_t(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{T}(\eta, t)) \ge \#\left\{C \in \mathcal{P} : \hat{C} \cap B_-(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))j \neq \emptyset\right\}.$$

Proof. Let C be any circle in $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\hat{C} \cap B_-(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))j \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $e_C = x_0 + iy_0$. We may assume that $x_0 + i(y_0 + r_C\cos\theta_0) + jr_C\sin\theta_0$ is the point at which $\hat{C}$ and $B_-(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))j$ intersect. We claim that $C \cap \mathcal{T}(\eta,t) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{Area}_{\text{hyp}}(C) > t$.

Noting that $B_-(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))j = \{z + rj \in \mathbb{H}^3 : z \in \mathcal{T}(\eta,t), (1+t)\beta(t)\,\text{Im}(z) < r \le 1\}$, the first claim $C \cap \mathcal{T}(\eta,t) \neq \emptyset$ easily follows. Now we show the second claim. By (4.4), this is equivalent to show that $r_C > y_0\beta(t)$.

Consider the function f given by $f(\theta) = r_C \sin\theta - (1+t)\beta(t)(y_0 + r_C\cos\theta)$ for $\theta \in (0,\pi)$. Since $x_0 + i(y_0 + r_C\cos\theta_0) + jr_C\sin\theta_0$ is in $B_-(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))j$, $f(\theta_0) > 0$. There are two possibilities to discuss.

First suppose $\theta_0 \in [\frac{\pi}{2},\pi)$. Then $\max f|_{[\frac{\pi}{2},\pi)} = r_C(1+(1+t)^2\beta(t)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - y_0(1+t)\beta(t) > 0$. When t is small enough, we have

$$(1+(1+t)^2\beta(t)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < 1+t.$$

Therefore

$$r_C > \frac{y_0(1+t)\beta(t)}{(1+(1+t)^2\beta(t)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} > y_0\beta(t).$$

Next we suppose that $\theta_0 \in (0,\frac{\pi}{2})$. Since the derivative of f is always positive on $(0,\frac{\pi}{2}]$ and $f(\theta_0) > 0$, we have $f(\frac{\pi}{2}) > 0$, i.e. $r_C > (1+t)\beta(t)y_0 > \beta(t)y_0$, completing the proof of the second claim. □

When $\mathcal{P} = \Gamma(C_0)\cap\mathcal{T}$, Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9 imply the following proposition:

Proposition 4.10. *For small $t > 0$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$\#\left([e]\Gamma\cap H\backslash HKP_-(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))\right) \le N_t\left(\Gamma(C_0)\cap\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)\right),$$
$$N_t\left(\Gamma(C_0)\cap\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)\right) \le \#\left([e]\Gamma\cap H\backslash HKP_+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))\right) + n(\Gamma(C_0),t),$$

where $n(\Gamma(C_0),t)$ is defined as Lemma 4.8.

4.2. **Number of disks in the cuspidal neighborhoods.** In this section, we estimate $n_t(\mathcal{P}_0,t)$ defined in Lemma 4.8:

Proposition 4.11. *For all sufficiently small $t > 0$, we have*

$$n(\mathcal{P}_0,t) = O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta(\alpha-1)}).$$

Lemma 4.12. *For all sufficiently small $t > 0$, we have*

$$N_t\left(\mathcal{P}_0\cap\{|z|\ge t^{-\eta}\}\right) = O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta(\alpha-1)}).$$

Proof. Let C be any circle in $\mathcal{P}_0$ such that C intersects $\{|z|\ge t^{-\eta}\}$. Since $\mathcal{P}_0$ is periodic, we choose $C'\subset\mathcal{P}_0\cap\{0<\operatorname{Im} z\le 2\}$ so that $C = C'+2k$ for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Denote the Euclidean radius of C' by r_0. Then

$$\operatorname{Area}_{\mathrm{hyp}}(C) = \frac{\pi r_0^2}{4k^2}\left(1+O(k^{-1})\right).$$

Applying the asymptotic estimate of general circle packing (Theorem 1.4 in [12]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&N_t(\mathcal{P}_0\cap\{|z|\ge t^{-\eta}\})\\
&\ll \sum_{k\ge\frac{t^{-\eta}}{2}} \#\left\{C'\in\mathcal{P}_0\cap\{0<\operatorname{Im} z\le 2\} : \operatorname{Curv}(C) < \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4k^2t}}\right\}\\
&\ll \sum_{k\ge t^{-\eta}/2}\left(\frac{\pi}{4k^2t}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \ll t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta(\alpha-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.13. *For all sufficiently small $t > 0$, we have*

$$N_t\left(\mathcal{P}_0\cap\{|z\pm 1|\le t^{\eta}\}\right) = O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta(\alpha-1)}).$$

Proof. Let $g_0 := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then g_0 rotates the points 1, -1 and ∞:

$$g_0(1) = -1,\ g_0(-1) = \infty \text{ and } g_0(\infty) = 1.$$

Since g_0 preserves $\mathcal{P}_0$ as well as the hyperbolic metric, we have

$$N_t(\mathcal{P}_0 \cap \{|z-1| \le t^\eta\}) = N_t(\mathcal{P}_0 \cap \{|z| \ge ct^{-\eta}\}),$$

where $c > 0$ is some constant. Hence we verify the estimate of $N_t(\mathcal{P}_0 \cap \{|z-1| \le t^\eta\})$. The estimate of $N_t(\mathcal{P}_0 \cap \{|z+1| \le t^\eta\})$ can be deduced from Lemma 4.12 using g_0^{-1}. □

The proof of Proposition 4.11 is completed by noting that

$$\mathcal{T} \backslash \mathcal{T}(\eta, t) \subset \{|z| \ge t^{-\eta}\} \cup \{|z-1| \le 2t^\eta\} \cup \{|z+1| \le 2t^\eta\}.$$

4.3. **On the measure** $(\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\alpha} d\omega_\Gamma$**.** Since we are now counting the circles with respect to the hyperbolic metric, instead of the restriction of $d\omega_\Gamma$ (Definition 3.11) to $\mathbb{H}^2$, we consider the measure $(\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\alpha} d\omega_\Gamma$ on $\mathbb{H}^2$.

4.3.1. *Estimate of the measure of cuspidal neighborhoods.*

Theorem 4.14 (Theorem 9.3 in [10])**.** *We have*

$$\int_{z \in \mathcal{T}} (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\alpha} d\omega_\Gamma(z) < \infty.$$

Recall that ν_j is the PS-density at j.

Proposition 4.15. *There exists $N_1 \ge 1$ such that for all $N \ge N_1$, we have*

$$\nu_j(\mathcal{E}_N) \ll N^{-2\alpha+1},$$

where $\mathcal{E}_N = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \ge N\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.9 in [3], we know that the limit set of Γ, say $\Lambda(\Gamma)$, is exactly the closure of $\mathcal{P}_0$. Because of this, we have

$$\Lambda(\Gamma) \backslash \{\infty\} \subset \{|\operatorname{Re} z| \le 1\}.$$

The stabilizer of ∞ in Γ is generated by $\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y_0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $y_0 > 0$. Define the following relatively compact set in $\mathcal{F}$:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{z : |\operatorname{Re} z| \le 1, \text{ and } 0 \le \operatorname{Im} z < y_0\}.$$

For any $z \in \Lambda(\Gamma) \backslash \{\infty\}$, there exists a unique $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|\operatorname{Im} z - k y_0| < y_0$. This implies $z \in \gamma_0^k \mathcal{F}$. And

$$y_0^2 \cdot (|k|+1)^2 \ge |z|^2 - |\operatorname{Re} z|^2 \ge |z|^2 - 1.$$

Therefore, there exist $c \ge 1$ and $N_1 \ge 1$ such that for all $N \ge N_1$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{cN} \cap \Lambda(\Gamma) \subset \bigcup_{|k| \ge N} \gamma_0^k \mathcal{F}.$$

We continue to prove the proposition. By the above inclusion, for any $N \geq N_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_j(\mathcal{E}_{cN}) &\leq \sum_{k\geq N} \nu_j(\gamma_0^k \mathcal{F}) + \sum_{k\geq N} \nu_j(\gamma_0^{-k}\mathcal{F}) \\
&= \sum_{k\geq N} \nu_{\gamma_0^{-k}j}(\mathcal{F}) + \sum_{k\geq N} \nu_{\gamma_0^{k}j}(\mathcal{F}) \\
&\ll \sum_{k\geq N} k^{-2\alpha}\nu_j(\mathcal{F}) + \sum_{k\geq N} k^{-2\alpha}\nu_j(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{(by Lemma 3.12)} \\
&\ll N^{-2\alpha+1} \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{(since } \mathcal{F} \text{ is relativelty compact).}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.16. *There exists $T_0 > 1$ such that for any pair of real numbers a, b with $T_0 < a < b < \infty$ and any κ with $\kappa > -2$ and $\kappa \neq -1$, we have*

$$\int_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}: a<\operatorname{Im} z<b\}} (\operatorname{Im} z)^\kappa d\omega_\Gamma(z) = O\left(\frac{a^{\kappa+1}+b^{\kappa+1}}{|\kappa+1|}\right).$$

Proof. If $a > 0$ is large enough, applying Lemma 3.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}: a<\operatorname{Im} z<b\}} (\operatorname{Im} z)^\kappa d\omega_\Gamma(z) &= \int_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}: a<\operatorname{Im} z<b\}} (\operatorname{Im} z)^\kappa (|z|^2+1)^\alpha d\nu_j(z) \\
&\ll \int_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}: a<\operatorname{Im} z<b\}} (\operatorname{Im} z)^{\kappa+2\alpha} d\nu_j(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Denote by π the projection map from points in $\{z \in \mathcal{T} : a < \operatorname{Im} z < b\}$ to their y-coordinates. Let $\pi_*\nu_j$ be the pushforward of ν_j. Then applying the disintegration theorem, we have, for $\mathcal{E}_s = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > s\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}: a<\operatorname{Im} z<b\}} (\operatorname{Im} z)^{\kappa+2\alpha} d\nu_j(z) \\
=&\int_a^b y^{\kappa+2\alpha} d\pi_*\nu_j(y) \\
\ll&\int_a^b \int_0^y s^{\kappa+2\alpha-1} ds d\pi_*\nu_j(y) \\
=&\int_0^a \int_a^b s^{\kappa+2\alpha-1} d\pi_*\nu_j(y) ds + \int_a^b \int_s^b s^{\kappa+2\alpha-1} d\pi_*\nu_j(y) ds \\
\leq&\int_0^a s^{\kappa+2\alpha-1}\nu_j(\mathcal{E}_a) ds + \int_a^b s^{\kappa+2\alpha-1}\nu_j(\mathcal{E}_s) ds \\
\ll&\int_0^a s^{\kappa+2\alpha-1}\cdot a^{1-2\alpha} ds + \int_a^b s^{\kappa+2\alpha-1}\cdot s^{1-2\alpha} ds \ \text{ (by Proposition 4.15)} \\
\ll&|\kappa+1|^{-1}\cdot(a^{\kappa+1}+b^{\kappa+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.17. *For all small $t > 0$, we have*

$$\int_{z\in\{w\in\mathcal{T}:\operatorname{Im} w>t^{-\eta}\}} (\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\alpha} d\omega_\Gamma(z) = O(t^{\eta(\alpha-1)}).$$

The corollary can be proved by setting $k = -\alpha$ in Proposition 4.16 and taking the limit of $\int_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}:t^{-\eta}\,\mathrm{Im}\,z<N\}}(\mathrm{Im}\,z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma(z)$ as $N\to\infty$.

Noting that $d\omega_\Gamma$ is a locally finite measure, we obtain the following corollary by setting $\kappa = 0$ in Proposition 4.16 :

Corollary 4.18. *For all small $t > 0$, we have*

$$\omega_\Gamma(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)) = O(t^{-\eta}).$$

Corollary 4.19. *For all sufficiently small $t > 0$, we have*

$$\int_{\{z\in\mathcal{T}:|z\pm1|\le t^\eta\}}(\mathrm{Im}\,z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma(z) = O(t^{\eta(\alpha-1)}).$$

Proof. Let $g_0 = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2}\end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Then g_0 maps ∞ to 1. Let $\{\nu_{g_0^{-1}\Gamma g_0,o}\}$ be the PS-density with respect to $g_0^{-1}\Gamma g_0$. For every $o\in\mathbb{H}^3$, set

$$\tilde{\nu}_o := (g_0)_*\nu_{g_0^{-1}\Gamma g_0,g_0^{-1}o},$$

where $(g_0)_*\nu_{g_0\Gamma g_0,g_0^{-1}o}(R) = \nu_{g_0^{-1}\Gamma g_0,g_0^{-1}o}(g_0^{-1}R)$ for any Borel set $R\subset\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. It is easy to see that $\{\tilde{\nu}_o\}$ is the PS-density with respect to Γ. Hence $\tilde{\nu}_o = \nu_{\Gamma,o}$ for every $o\in\mathbb{H}^3$.

There exists a $c > 0$ such that g_0^{-1} maps the disk $\{z\in\mathbb{C} : |z-1|\le y\}$ to $\{z\in\mathbb{C} : |z|\ge cy^{-1}\}\cup\{\infty\}$ for all sufficiently small $y > 0$. The proof of Proposition 4.15 is valid for $\nu_{g_0^{-1}\Gamma g_0,g_0^{-1}j}(\{z\in\mathbb{C} : |z|\ge cy^{-1}\})$. We can verify the corollary by a similar argument as the proof of Proposition 4.16. □

4.3.2. *Relate m^{BR} with $(\mathrm{Im}\,z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma$.* For small $\epsilon > 0$, let U_ϵ be the symmetric ϵ-neighborhood of e in G. Recall that we defined

$$\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_\epsilon = \bigcup_{u\in U_\epsilon} u\mathcal{T}(\eta,t) \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^-_\epsilon = \bigcap_{u\in U_\epsilon} u\mathcal{T}(\eta,t).$$

If ψ^ϵ is a non-negative function in $C_c^\infty(G)$ supported in U_ϵ with integral one, set $\Psi^\epsilon\in C_c^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G)$ to be the Γ-average of ψ^ϵ.

In this section, we show the following relation between m^{BR} and $(\mathrm{Im}\,z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma$:

Proposition 4.20. *For all small $\epsilon > 0$ and $t > 0$, we have*

$$\int_{z\in\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)} m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi^\epsilon_{-z})(\mathrm{Im}\,z)^{-\alpha}dn_{-z} = (1+O(\epsilon\cdot t^{-\eta}))\int_{z\in\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^\pm_\epsilon}(\mathrm{Im}\,z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma(z),$$

where $\Psi^\epsilon_{-z}\in C_c^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G)^M$ is given by $\Psi^\epsilon_{-z}(g) := \int_{m\in M}\Psi^\epsilon(gmn_{-z})dm$.

To prove Proposition 4.20, we first introduce a function on G:

Definition 4.21. For $\psi\in C_c(\mathbb{H}^2)$, define a function $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ on $MAN^-N\subset G$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_\psi(ma_tn_x^-n_z) = \begin{cases} e^{-\alpha t}\psi(-z)(-y)^{-\alpha}, \text{ if } z = x+iy \text{ with } y<0\\ 0, \text{ otherwise}\end{cases}.$$

Since the product map $M\times A\times N^-\times N\to G$ has a diffeomorphic image, $\mathcal{F}_\psi$ can be regarded as a function on G.

Lemma 4.22. *For any $\psi\in C_c(\mathbb{H}^2)$,*

$$\int_{z\in\mathbb{H}^2}\psi(z)(\mathrm{Im}\,z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma(z) = \int_{k\in K/M}\mathcal{F}_\psi(k^{-1})d\nu_j(k(0)).$$

The proof is a verbatim repetition of the proof of Proposition 5.4 in [12].

Lemma 4.23. *For small $\epsilon > 0$ and any $g \in U_\epsilon$,*

$$\int_{k\in K/M} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)}(k^{-1}g)d\nu_j(k(0)) = (1+O(\epsilon\cdot t^{-\eta}))\int_{z\in\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^\pm_\epsilon}(\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma(z),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)} := \mathcal{F}_{\chi_{\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)}}$ with $\chi_{\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)}$ the characteristic function of $\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)$.

Proof. Write $k^{-1} = m_\theta a_t n_x^- n_z$ and $g = m_{\theta_1} a_{t_1} n_{x_1}^- n_{z_1} \in U_\epsilon$. By Lemma 5.5 in [12], we have $k^{-1}g = m_{\theta_0} a_{t_0} n_{x_0}^- n_{z_0}$, where $t_0 = t + t_1 + 2\log(|1+e^{-t_1}x_1 z|)$ and $z_0 = e^{-t_1-2i\theta_1}z(1+x_1e^{-t_1-2i\theta_1}z)^{-1} + z_1$. Notice that $-z_0 = g^{-1}k(0)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{k\in K/M} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)}(k^{-1}g)d\nu_j(k(0))\\
=&\int_{k\in K/M} e^{-\alpha t_0}\chi_{\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)}(-z_0)\operatorname{Im}(-z_0)^{-\alpha}d\nu_j(k(0))\\
=&\int_{k(0)\in\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^\pm_\epsilon} e^{-\alpha t_0}\operatorname{Im}(-z_0)^{-\alpha}d\nu_j(k(0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$e^{-\alpha t_0} = (1+O(|z|\epsilon))e^{-\alpha t}, \text{ and } z_0 = (1+O((|z|+|z|^{-1})\epsilon))z.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{k\in K/M} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)}(k^{-1}g)d\nu_j(k(0)) = (1+O(\epsilon\cdot t^{-\eta}))\int_{z\in\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^\pm_\epsilon}(\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma(z).$$

□

We complete the proof of Proposition 4.20 by using the same argument as the proof of this Lemma 5.7 in [12].

4.4. **Conclusion.**

Theorem 4.24. *There exists a constant $\rho > 0$, such that as $t \to 0$, we have*

$$N_t(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})) = \frac{\mathrm{sk}_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_0)}{\alpha|m_\Gamma^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})}}(\operatorname{Im} z)^{-\alpha}d\mathcal{H}^\alpha(z) + O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\rho}).$$

Set $\xi = t^{4\eta}$ throughout this section. For any subset $E \subset \mathcal{T}$ and every small $t > 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_t^+(E) &:= \{a_s n_{-z} : z \in E \text{ and } -t^\eta \le s \le h_t^+(z) + t^\eta\},\\
Q_t^-(E) &:= \{a_s n_{-z} : z \in E \text{ and } t^\eta \le s \le h_t^-(z) - t^\eta\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $h_t^+(\cdot)$ and $h_t^-(\cdot)$ are the functions defined in (4.6). We first show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.25. *There exists $c_4 > 0$, such that for all sufficiently small $t > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
KP_+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))U_{c_4\xi} &\subset KQ_t^+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}),\\
KQ_t^-(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^-_{t^{2\eta}})U_{c_4\xi} &\subset KP_-(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_+(\cdot)$ and $P_-(\cdot)$ are the sets defined as (4.7).

Proof. Up to a uniform Lipschitz constant, we may write $U_\xi = N_\xi^- M_\xi A_\xi N_\xi$. It suffices to show

$$KP_+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))M_\xi A_\xi N_\xi^- \subset KQ_t^+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}).$$

Fix $z \in \mathcal{T}(\eta,t)$. For any $m_\theta a_s \in M_\xi A_\xi$,

$$n_{-z} m_\theta a_s = m_\theta a_s n_{-(z+z')},$$

where $|z'| = O(t^{3\eta})$. Note that

$$h_t^+(z+z') = h_t^+(z) + O(t^{2\eta}).$$

Hence

$$P_+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))M_\xi A_\xi \subset KQ_t^+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}).$$

For $n_w^- \in N_\xi^-$ and a_s with $0 \le s \le h_t^+(z)$,

$$a_s n_{-z} n_w^- = a_s n^-_{\frac{w}{1-zw}} \begin{pmatrix} 1-wz & 0 \\ 0 & (1-wz)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} n_{\frac{-z}{1-zw}}.$$

Since $n^-_{\frac{w}{1-zw}} \in U_\xi$, we have $a_s n^-_{\frac{w}{1-zw}} a_{-s} \in U_\xi$. Up to a uniform Lipschitz constant, we may write $a_s n^-_{\frac{w}{1-zw}} a_{-s} = k a_{s_1} n_{z_1}$ with $k \in K_\xi$, $a_{s_1} \in A_\xi$ and $n_{z_1} \in N_\xi$. Then

$$a_s n_{-z} n_w^- = k a_{s+s_1} \begin{pmatrix} 1-wz & 0 \\ 0 & (1-wz)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} n_{-(z+z_2)},$$

with $|z_2| = O(t^{2\eta})$. Therefore

$$P_+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))N_\xi^- \subset KQ_t^+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}).$$

The second statement can be proved similarly. □

Proof of Theorem 4.22. **Step 1:** First we consider $N_t(\Gamma(C_0) \cap \mathcal{T})$.

For simplicity, we set

$$V_+ := H\backslash HKQ_t^+(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}),$$
$$V_- := H\backslash HKQ_t^-(\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^-_{t^{2\eta}}).$$

For small $t > 0$, define functions $F_t^\pm$ on $\Gamma\backslash G$:

$$F_t^+(g) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_H\backslash\Gamma} \chi_{V_+}([e]\gamma g),$$
$$F_t^-(g) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_H\backslash\Gamma} \chi_{V_-}([e]\gamma g).$$

By Propositions 4.10, 4.11 and Lemma 4.25, we have for all small $t > 0$ and $g \in U_{c_4\xi}$ with $\xi = t^{4\eta}$

$$F_t^-(g) \le N_t(\Gamma(C_0) \cap \mathcal{T}(\eta,t)) \le F_t^+(g) + \rho_1 \cdot t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta(\alpha-1)}, \tag{4.26}$$

where $\rho_1 > 0$ is some constant (cf. Lemma 6.4 in [12]).

Let ψ^ξ be a non-negative function in $C_c^\infty(G)$, supported in $U_{c_4\xi}$ with integral one. And let $\Psi^\xi \in C_c^\infty(\Gamma\backslash G)$ be the Γ-average of ψ^ξ. Integrating F_t^+against Ψ^ξ,

we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F_t^+, \Psi^\xi\rangle &= \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_H\backslash\Gamma} \chi_{V_+}([e]\gamma g)\Psi^\xi(g)dg\\ &= \int_{g\in\Gamma_H\backslash G} \chi_{V_+}([e]g)\Psi^\xi(g)dg\\ &= \int_{g\in V_+}\int_{h\in\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_{m\in M} \Psi^\xi(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g).\end{aligned}$$

Recall the function $h_t^+(\cdot)$ defined in (4.6). The first constraint for η is that $\eta < 1/10$. Then for every $z \in \mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}$, we have

$$-\log(c_1\xi) < h_t^+(z)$$

where c_1 is the constant described at the beginning of Section 3.2. Set

$$\begin{aligned}&T_0 := -\log(c_1\xi),\ \hat{A}(z) := \{a_s : T_0 - 2t^\eta \le s \le h_t^+(z) + 2t^\eta\},\\ &K(s) := \{k \in K : a_s k \in HKA^+\} \text{ for every } s > 0,\\ &W_1 := \bigcup_{z\in\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}} H\backslash H\hat{A}(z)n_{-z},\\ &W_2 := \bigcup_{0\le s\le T_0} H\backslash Ha_sK(s)N_{-\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

For every $z \in \mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}$, the HA^+K decomposition of G and the proof of Proposition 4.2 (1) imply

$$\bigcup_{-t^\eta\le s\le h_t^+(z)+t^\eta} H\backslash HKa_sn_{-z} = \bigcup_{0\le s\le h_t^+(z)+t^\eta} H\backslash Ha_sK(s)n_{-z}.$$

Applying the same argument as the proof of Proposition 3.6 to the subset $\bigcup_{0\le s\le h_t^+(z)+t^\eta} H\backslash Ha_sK(s)n_{-z}$ for every $z \in \mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}$, we have

$$V_+ \subset W_1N_{\rho_2\xi}\bigcup W_2, \tag{4.28}$$

for some constant $\rho_2 > 0$.

For $W_1N_{\rho_2\xi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{W_1N_{\rho_2\xi}}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_{m\in M} \Psi^\xi(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g)\\ &\le \int_{z\in\mathcal{T}_\xi^+}\int_{T_0-3t^\eta}^{h_t^+(z)+3t^\eta} e^{2s}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_{m\in M}\Psi^\xi(hma_sn_{-z})dmdhdsdn_{-z}\\ &= \int_{z\in\mathcal{T}_\xi^+}\int_{T_0-3t^\eta}^{h_t^+(z)+3t^\eta} e^{2s}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\Psi^\xi_{-z}(hma_s)dhdsdn_{-z},\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_\xi^+ = U_{\rho_2\xi}\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}$ and $\Psi^\xi_{-z}(g) := \int_{m\in M}\Psi^\xi(gmn_{-z})dm$ for every $g \in \Gamma\backslash G/M$. Note that for any $z \in \mathcal{T}_\xi^+$, we have $\mathrm{supp}(\Psi^\xi_{-z}) \subset \Gamma\backslash\Gamma n_zU_1M/M$, with U_1 the 1-neighborhood of identity in G. Let $E := \{x+iy \in \mathbb{C} : -2 \le x \le 2,\ 0 \le y \le 4\}$. Then we can see $\mathcal{A}\backslash\mathcal{A}n_zU_1K/K \subset \mathcal{A}\backslash\mathcal{A}N_EU_1K/K$ by applying $S_4S_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ to

$N_E U_1 j$ finitely many times. Hence there exists a compact subset $\Omega \subset \Gamma\backslash G/M$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(\Psi^{\xi}_{-z}) \subset \Omega$. Applying Theorem 3.23, we get

(4.30)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{W_1 N_{\rho_2 \xi}} \int_{\Gamma_H \backslash C_0^\dagger} \int_{m\in M} \Psi^{\xi}(hmg) dm dh d\lambda(g) \\
&\leq \int_{z\in \mathcal{T}_\xi^+} \int_{T_0-3t^\eta}^{h_t^+(z)+3t^\eta} \frac{|\mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}|}{|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|} m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi^{\xi}_{-z}) e^{\alpha s} + \rho_3 (S_l(\Psi^{\xi}_{-z}) e^{(\alpha-\eta_0)s}) ds dn_{-z} \leq \\
&c\, \left(\tfrac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{z\in\mathcal{T}_\xi^+} m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi^{\xi}_{-z}) (\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} (1+\rho_4 t^\eta) + \rho_4 (|z|^{2l+\eta_0-\alpha} S_l(\Psi^{\xi}) t^{\frac{\eta_0-\alpha}{2}}) dn_{-z} \\
&\leq c\,(1+\rho_5 t^\eta)\, \left(\tfrac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{z\in\mathcal{T}_\xi^+} m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi^{\xi}_{-z}) (\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} dn_{-z} \\
&+ \rho_5 \left(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{\eta_0}{2}-\eta(6l+13+\eta_0-\alpha)} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c := \frac{|\mu_H^{\mathrm{PS}}|}{\alpha\cdot|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}|}$, η_0 is the constant in Theorem 3.23, and $\rho_3, \rho_4, \rho_5 > 0$ are some constants. To get the second inequality above, we use the fact that there exists $C > 0$ such that $S_l(\Psi^{\xi_t}_{-z}) \leq C \cdot (y^{2l} S_l(\Psi^{\xi_t}))$ for $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$.

The second constraint for η is that $\frac{\eta_0}{2} - \eta(6l+13+\eta_0-\alpha) > \eta$. Then we can deduce the term in (4.30) is bounded from above by

(4.31)

$$\begin{aligned}
&c\,(1+\rho_5\cdot t^\eta)\, \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{z\in\mathcal{T}_\epsilon^+} m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi^{\xi}_{-z}) (\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} dn_{-z} + \rho_5 \cdot (t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta}) \\
&\leq c \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} (1+\rho_6\cdot(t^{-\eta}\xi))(1+\rho_5\cdot t^\eta) \int_{z\in\mathcal{T}} (\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} d\omega_\Gamma(z) + \rho_5\cdot(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta}) \\
&\leq c \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{z\in\mathcal{T}} (\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} d\omega_\Gamma(z) + \rho_7 \cdot \left(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants $\rho_6, \rho_7 > 0$, by applying Proposition 4.20 to the first term of (4.31). For the set W_2 in (4.27), note that

(4.32)

$$\begin{aligned}
W_2 &= H\backslash HKA^+_{T_0} N_{-\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}} && \text{(see (3.7))} \\
&\subset H\backslash HKA^+_{T_1} N_{-\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}} \cup \bigcup_{T_1-\rho_8\epsilon\leq s\leq T_0+\rho_8\epsilon} H\backslash H a_s N_{-\mathcal{T}_\epsilon} && \text{(by Prop. 3.6)} \\
&:= W_3 \cup W_4,
\end{aligned}$$

where $T_1 = -\log(c_1\epsilon)$ for some fixed small $\epsilon > 0$ such that $T_1 < T_0 = -\log(c_1\xi)$, $\rho_8 > 0$ is a constant and $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon := U_{\rho_8\epsilon}\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^+_{t^{2\eta}}$.

For the set W_4, by similar calculation as (3.31), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{W_4} \int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger} \int_M \Psi^{\xi}(hmg) dm dh d\lambda(g) \\
&\leq 2\, c_\Gamma\, e^{\alpha T_0} \int_{z\in U_{\rho_9\epsilon}\mathcal{T}_\epsilon} m^{\mathrm{BR}}(\Psi^{\xi}_{-z}) dn_{-z} \qquad (\rho_9 > 0 \text{ some constant}).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 3.14 and Corollary 4.18 and substituting T_0 by $-\log(c_1\xi)$, we have

$$\int_{W_4}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_M \Psi^\xi(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g) = O\left(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+(\frac{\alpha}{2}-(4\alpha+1)\eta)}\right). \tag{4.33}$$

The third constraint for η is such that $\frac{\alpha}{2}-(4\alpha+1)\eta>\eta$. Then

$$\int_{W_4}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_M \Psi^\xi(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g) = O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta}). \tag{4.34}$$

For the set W_3 in (4.32), reserving the process of translating the circle counting with respect to Euclidean metric into orbit counting, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{W_3}\int_{\Gamma_H\backslash C_0^\dagger}\int_M \Psi^\xi(hmg)dmdhd\lambda(g)\\ =&O(t^{-\eta}\cdot\#\{C\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T}): C\cap\mathcal{T}_{0,2}\neq\emptyset, \mathrm{Curv}(C)\leq T_1\})\\ =&O\left(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+(\frac{\alpha}{2}-\eta)}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

since T_1 is fixed.

Collecting the above together, we get

$$\langle F_t^+,\Psi^\xi\rangle \leq c\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\int_{z\in\mathcal{T}}(\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma(z)+\rho_9\cdot(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta}),$$

for some constant $\rho_9>0$.

Together with Proposition 4.11 and (4.26), we conclude that there exists $\rho'>0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}N_t(\Gamma(C_0)\cap\mathcal{T}) \leq& N_t(\Gamma(C_0)\cap\mathcal{T}(\eta,t))+n(\Gamma(C_0),t)\\ \leq&\langle F_t^+,\Psi^\xi\rangle+\rho_1\cdot(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\eta(\alpha-1)})+n(\Gamma(C_0),t)\\ \leq& c\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\int_{z\in\mathcal{T}}(\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma(z)+\rho_{10}\cdot(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\rho'}),\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $\rho_{10}>0$.

As for $\langle F_t^-,\Psi^\xi\rangle$ in (4.26), observe that

$$V_-\supset\left\{H\backslash Ha_sn_{-z}: z\in\mathcal{T}(\eta,t)^-_{t^{2\eta}},\ T_2\leq s\leq h_t^-(z)-t^\eta\right\},$$

where $T_2>0$ is some fixed large number and $h_t^-(\cdot)$ is defined as (4.6).

Using similar argument as above, there exists $\rho''>0$ such that

$$\langle F_t^-,\Psi^\xi\rangle \geq c\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\int_{z\in\mathcal{T}}(\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma(z)+\rho_{11}\cdot(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\rho''}),$$

where $\rho_{11}>0$ is some constant.

Therefore, setting $\rho=\min\{\rho',\rho''\}$, we conclude that

$$N_t(\Gamma(C_0)) = c\left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\int_{z\in\mathcal{T}}(\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha}d\omega_\Gamma(z)+O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\rho}).$$

Step 2: We consider other Γ-orbits in $\mathcal{P}_0$. Let C_1 be a representative of a Γ-orbit with Euclidean center $p=p_1+ip_2\in\mathbb{C}$ and Euclidean radius $r>0$. Set

$$g_0 := \begin{pmatrix}1 & p\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{r} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $g_0^{-1}(z) = \frac{z-p}{r}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g_0^{-1}(C_1) = C_0$.

Setting $\Gamma_0 = g_0^{-1}\Gamma g_0$, we have

$$N_t(\Gamma(C_1) \cap \mathcal{T}) = \#\{C \in \Gamma_0(C_0) : C \cap g_0^{-1}\mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset, \mathrm{Area}_{\mathrm{hyp}}(g_0 C) > t\}.$$

If the Euclidean center and the Euclidean radius of C are e_C and r_C respectively, then the Euclidean center and the Euclidean radius of $g_0 C$ are $re_C + p$ and rr_C respectively. Applying (4.4), we have

$$N_t(\Gamma(C_1) \cap \mathcal{T}) = \left\{C \in \Gamma_0(C_0) : C \cap g_0^{-1}\mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset, r_C > (\mathrm{Im}\, e_C + \frac{p_2}{r})\beta(t)\right\},$$

with $\beta(t) = \frac{\sqrt{t(4\pi+t)}}{2\pi+t}$.

Recall that we introduced the measure $(\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} d\omega_\Gamma$ on $\mathcal{T}$ to estimate $N_t(\Gamma(C_0) \cap \mathcal{T})$. Similarly, we consider the measure $\left(\mathrm{Im}\, z + \frac{p_2}{r}\right)^{-\alpha} d\omega_{\Gamma_0}$ on $g_0^{-1}(\mathcal{T})$. We claim that for any Borel set $E \subset \mathcal{T}$, we have

$$\int_{z \in g_0^{-1}E} \left(\mathrm{Im}(z) + \frac{p_2}{r}\right)^{-\alpha} d\omega_{\Gamma_0}(z) = \int_{z \in E} (\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} d\omega_\Gamma(z). \tag{4.36}$$

To prove the claim, note that by the uniqueness of PS density, we have

$$\nu_{\Gamma_0, j} = g_0^* \nu_{\Gamma, g_0 j},$$

where $g_0^* \nu_{\Gamma, g_0 j}(R) = \nu_{\Gamma, g_0 j}(g_0 R)$ for any Borel set $R \subset \partial\mathbb{H}^3$. Then the claim can be verified using this observation together with Lemma 3.12.

Repeating the process of getting effective estimate for $N_t(\Gamma(C_0) \cap \mathcal{T})$, we can show that there exists $\rho > 0$ such that as $t \to 0$,

$$N_t(\Gamma(C_1) \cap \mathcal{T}) = \frac{|\mu^{\mathrm{PS}}_{\Gamma_0, H}|}{\alpha |m^{\mathrm{BMS}}_{\Gamma_0}|} \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{z \in \mathcal{T}} (\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} d\omega_\Gamma(z) + O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\rho}).$$

Note that $|m^{\mathrm{BMS}}_{\Gamma_0}| = |m^{\mathrm{BMS}}_{\Gamma}|$ by Lemma 6.5 in [12]. Moreover, since Γ just has rank 1 cusps, we know that $(\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} d\omega_\Gamma$ agrees with agrees with $(\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} d\mathcal{H}^\alpha$ on its support $\overline{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})}$ (Section 3.3). Therefore we have shown that there exists $\rho > 0$ such that as $t \to 0$,

$$N_t(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})) = \frac{\mathrm{sk}_\Gamma(\mathcal{P}_0)}{\alpha |m^{\mathrm{BMS}}_{\Gamma}|} \left(\frac{t}{\pi}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{T})}} (\mathrm{Im}\, z)^{-\alpha} d\mathcal{H}^\alpha(z) + O(t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\rho}).$$

□

References

[1] C. J. Bishop and P. W. Jones. Hausdorff dimension and Kleinian groups. Acta Math. 179:1 (1997), 1-39.

[2] R. D. Canary and E. Taylor. Kleinian groups with small limit sets. Duke Math J. 73:2 (1994), 371-381.

[3] A. Kontorovich and H. Oh. Apollonian circle packings and closed horospheres on hyperbolic 3-manifolds. With an appendix by Oh and Nimish Shah. Journal of AMS. Vol 24 (2011), no.2, 603-648.

[4] M. Lee and H. Oh. Effective count for Apollonian circle packings and closed horospheres. *GAFA* Vol 23 (2013), 580-621.

[5] C. McMullen. Hausdorff dimension and conformal dynamics. III. Computation of dimension. *Amer. J. Math.* 120 (1998), no.4, 691-721.

[6] C. McMullen, A. Mohammadi and H. Oh. Geodesic planes in hyperbolic 3-manifolds. Preprint (2015). Available at http://gauss.math.yale.edu/∼ho2/.

[7] A. Mohammadi and H. Oh. Matrix coefficients, counting and primes for orbits of geometrically finite groups. *Journal of European Math. Society* Vol 17 (2015), 837-897.
[8] A. Mohammadi and H. Oh. Ergodicity of unipotent flows and Kleinian groups. *Journal of AMS*. Vol 28 (2015), no.2, 531-577.
[9] D. Mumford, C. Series and D. Wright. Indra's pearls. The vision of Felix Klein. Cambridge University Press, New York (2002).
[10] H. Oh. Harmonic analysis, ergodic theory and counting for thin groups. In Thin groups and superstrong approximation, edited by Breulliard and Oh, MSRI Publ 61, Cambridge press.
[11] H. Oh and N. Shah. Equidistribution and counting for orbits of geometrically finite hyperbolic groups. *Journal of AMS*. Vol 26 (2013), 511-562.
[12] H. Oh and N. Shah. The asymptotic distribution of circles in the orbits of Kleinian groups. *Inventiones Meathematicae*, Vol 187: 1-35,2012.
[13] J. Parkkonen and F. Paulin. Counting common perpendicular arcs in negative curvature. Preprint, arXiv:1305.1332. To appear in *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*.
[14] P. Vesselin and L. Stoyanov. Distribution of periods of closed trajectories in exponentially shrinking intervals. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 310 (2012), no. 3, 675-704.
[15] B. Stratmann and M. Urbański. Diophantine extremality of the Patterson measure. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 140 (2006) no. 2, 297-304.
[16] B. Stratmann and S.L.Velani. The Patterson measure for geometrically finite groups with parabolic elements, new and old. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*(3)71:197-220,1995.
[17] D. Sullivan. Entropy, Hausdorff measures old and new, and limit sets of geometrically finite Kleinian groups. *Acta Math.*, 153(3-4):259-277,1984.
[18] L. Stoyanov. Spectra of Ruelle transfer operators for axiom A flows. *Nonlinearity*, 24 (2011),1089-1120.
[19] I. Vinogradov. Effective bisector estimate with applications to Apollonian circle packings. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2014*, no.12, 3217-3262.

Mathematics Department, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520
E-mail address: wenyu.pan@yale.edu